So the amendment was rejected.

Mr Chappell submitted the following amendment:

Add at end of section 2, "nor shall it go into effect unless said company shall permit the directors elected, or hereafter to be elected to represent the stock, known as dividend stock, held by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, to sit with and exercise all the rights of directors in said corporation;"

The question being on the adoption of the amendment:

Mr. Chappell demanded the yeas and nays;

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE,

Messrs. Hoffman,	Trail,	Cushwa,
Lester,	Hitchcock,	Masters,
Kerr,	Barron,	Miller,
McNeal,	Silverwood,	Zeigler,
Douglass,	Chappell,	Greene,
Jones,	Stockbridge,	Hebb,
Duncan,	Lee,	Ecker,
Buhrman,	Murray, of B. city,	Starr,
Biggs,	Dundon,	Sykes,
Rinehart,	Boswell,	Tyson—30.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Kemp, Sp'k,	Wright,	Pierce, of Q. A.,
Dent,	Pearce, of B. co.,	Tolson,
Martin,	Lusby,	Snyder,
Westcott,	Mathews,	McCoy,
Cosden,	Handy,	Archer,
Claude,	Elliott,	Ridgaway,
Iglehart,	Frazier,	Fawcett,
Henkle,	Brohawn,	Young,
Griffith,	Stubbs,	Shaw,
Ireland,	Boulden,	Brown,
Carrico,	Clarke,	Waters-35.
Poteet,	Herbert,	Manch House

So the amendment was rejected.

Mr. Tyson submitted the following amendment:

In section 2, strike out the words "a majority of the stock-holders," and insert, "the holders of a majority of the stock;"

Which was adopted.

Mr. Hebb submitted the following amendment: